

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/053,687	01/21/2002	Gary M. McMurtry	GM-1-mv	8407
. 7	590 05/09/2003			
Michael I. Kr	oll	•	EXAMINER	
171 Stillwell Lane Syosset, NY 11791			FERNANDEZ, KALIMAH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2881	
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	olicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	MURTRY ET AL.					
	Unit					
Kalimah Fernandez 2						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corr Period for Reply	spondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days with 1 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (30) Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may be a second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e considered timely. illing date of this communication. U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 Disposition of Claims	J.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been receing 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 a						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (F	O-413) Paper No(s) It Application (PTO-152)					



Application/Control Number: 10/053,687

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: Claim 1 recites a housing for an internal standard reservoir; a stepper motor; a guide rod; a sampler rod; and pressure compensating bladder. However, the essential structural relationship between said parts is omitted. It is unclear how the recited parts relate and/or interact; therefore, applicant has failed to clearly point out what his invention is.
- 3. As per claims 2-4, it is unclear if the "housing encompassing an internal standard reservoir" is mounted to a mass spectrometer via recited sealing means, if not.

 Applicant has failed to establish how the parts recited in claim 1 relate to form a device, which can be mounted via a sealing means.
- 4. Furthermore, the function or relationship of the stepper motor to said device of claim 1 has not been establish, therefore the limitations of claims 5-6 are rendered ambiguous at the least.
- 5. In regards to claims 7-20, applicant must clearly point out the structural relationship between the recited parts of claim 1 in order that the reader can understand what he regards as his invention.



Art Unit: 2881

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Document 2002/0079442 filed on 10/4/2001 issued to Fries and in view of UK 2178534 issued to Constantin.
- 7. Fries teaches a housing encompassing an internal standard reservoir (pg.3, col.1, para 0042).
- 8. Fries teaches a pressure compensating bladder (pg.4, col.1, para. 0047).
- 9. Fries does not teach a stepper motor; guide rod; and sampler rod. However, Constantin teaches a device for introduction of samples having a stepper motor, guide rod, and sampler rod (pg.1, col.2, lines 93-110; pg.2, col.1, line 63-col.2, line 81).
- 10. It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to combine the teachings of Fries and Constantin since Constantin teaches improved introduction of sample through successive, continuous manner (pg. 1, col.2, lines 111-129).
- 11. As per claim 11, Fries discloses the internal standard reservoir having a known substance (pg.3, col.1, para. 0041).

Conclusion

12. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: US Pat. 6,483,778 issued to Pozzo et al; US Pat 4,723,577 issued to Wusterbarth; US Pat. 6,177,991 issued to Okuda; US Pat No 4,879,458

Page 4

issued to Brunfeldt et al; US Pat No 5,397, 989 issued to Spraul et al; US Pat 4,601,211 issued to Whistler; and US Pat 3,946,759 issued to Mercier.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kalimah Fernandez whose telephone number is 703-305-6310. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thus between 8:30am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Lee can be reached on 703-308-4116. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

kf

May 2, 2003

JOHN R. LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800